

# MIXED VOLUMES OF OKOUNKOV BODIES

MINGCHEN XIA

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$ . We first prove that the movable product of any big line bundles  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  equals  $n!$  times the supremum of the mixed volumes of their Okounkov bodies over all valuations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$ . For a big line bundle  $L$  and a surjective valuation

$$\nu: \mathbb{C}(X)^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{lex}}^n$$

that is trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , write  $\Delta_\nu(L) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for the associated Okounkov body. Its Euclidean volume recovers the volume, or equivalently the top movable self-intersection, of  $L$ :

$$(1.1) \quad n! \operatorname{vol} \Delta_\nu(L) = \operatorname{vol}(L) = \langle L^n \rangle.$$

Okounkov bodies depend only on numerical classes [LM09, Proposition 4.1]. Conversely, Jow proved that two big divisors on a normal complex projective variety are numerically equivalent if their Okounkov bodies agree for every admissible flag [Jow10, Theorem A]. Thus the collection of all Okounkov bodies is a faithful numerical invariant.

The first conclusion of this paper is that this collection also recovers mixed movable products.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  be big line bundles on  $X$ , then*

$$(1.2) \quad \langle L_1, \dots, L_n \rangle = n! \sup_\nu \operatorname{vol}(\Delta_\nu(L_1), \dots, \Delta_\nu(L_n)),$$

where  $\nu$  ranges over the valuations above.

Here  $\operatorname{vol}$  denotes mixed volume, normalized by  $\operatorname{vol}(K, \dots, K) = \operatorname{vol}(K)$ . For ample line bundles, Wilms proved that a suitable single admissible flag realizes the mixed intersection number [Wil25, Theorem 1.1].

We now pass to its singular-metric refinement. A Hermitian big line bundle  $(L, h)$  will mean a big line bundle endowed with a singular psh metric with positive volume (in the sense of Cao). In [Xia25], the author introduced the partial Okounkov body  $\Delta_\nu(L, h) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  extending the usual Okounkov body construction. The volume theorem [Xia25, Theorem A] gives

$$(1.3) \quad n! \operatorname{vol} \Delta_\nu(L, h) = \operatorname{vol} \operatorname{dd}^c h,$$

where the volume on the right-hand side is taken in the sense of Cao [Cao14]. Moreover, the family of partial Okounkov bodies over all rank- $n$  valuations determines the  $\mathcal{I}$ -singularity type of  $h$  [Xia25, Theorem B], a metric analogue of Jow's theorem.

A formula of this type was first proposed in [Xia25, Conjecture 8.8]. We prove it here.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $L_i$  be a big line bundle and let  $h_i$  be a psh metric on  $L_i$  whose volume is positive. Then*

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{dd}^c h_1, \dots, \operatorname{dd}^c h_n) = n! \sup_\nu \operatorname{vol}(\Delta_\nu(L_1, h_1), \dots, \Delta_\nu(L_n, h_n)).$$

Here  $\nu$  runs over all valuations as above.

Again, the mixed volume is taken in the sense of Cao, as shown in [Xia26b], it coincides with the mixed volume in the sense of Darvas–Xia as recalled in Section 2. Taking each  $h_i$  to have minimal singularities reduces Theorem 1.2 to Theorem 1.1, while its diagonal specialization is (1.3).

The proof of the  $\leq$  direction in (1.4) can be easily reduced to Wilms' theorem [Wil25, Theorem 1.1]. Conversely, the  $\geq$  direction can be reduced to the  $\geq$  direction in (1.2). We shall apply a suitable toric degeneration to further reduce to the toric case, where the results are essentially known.

**Acknowledgments.** The author used the **Rethlas agent**, backed by an OpenAI GPT-5.6 Sol model, for proof exploration and consistency checks during the development of this work. AI-generated suggestions were treated as provisional; the author assumes full responsibility for every argument and for the final text.

The author is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2025YFA1018200.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We follow the language and notation of [Xia26a]. All products of closed positive currents are non-pluripolar products. We use  $\mathrm{dd}^c = (2\pi)^{-1}i\partial\bar{\partial}$ , and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . The notation  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{lex}}^n$  means  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with the lexicographic order, and  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  denotes its standard basis. A superscript  $\times$  means that zero is omitted, and  $\mathrm{Conv} A$  denotes the convex hull of a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . We use  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  for the space of lines in a vector space  $V$ .

**2.1. Singularities and partial Okounkov bodies.** Fix a smooth Hermitian metric  $h^0$  on a big line bundle  $L$  and write

$$\theta := c_1(L, h^0), \quad h = h^0 e^{-\varphi}, \quad \theta_\varphi := \theta + \mathrm{dd}^c \varphi,$$

where  $\mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$  is the set  $\theta$ -psh functions, and

$$\mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)_{>0} := \left\{ u \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta) : \int_X \theta_u^n > 0 \right\}.$$

For  $u, v \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$ , write  $u \preceq v$  if  $u \leq v + O(1)$ . The model envelope is

$$P_\theta[u] := \sup^* \{ v \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta) : v \leq 0, v \preceq u \},$$

where  $\sup^*$  denotes the upper-semicontinuous regularization of the pointwise supremum. A positive-mass potential  $u$  is a model potential if  $P_\theta[u] = u$ . Two positive-mass potentials are  $P$ -equivalent if their model envelopes agree. They are  $\mathcal{I}$ -equivalent if  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda u) = \mathcal{I}(\lambda v)$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda u)$  is the multiplier ideal sheaf. The  $\mathcal{I}$ -model envelope is

$$P_\theta[u]_{\mathcal{I}} := \sup^* \{ v \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta) : v \leq 0, v \text{ is } \mathcal{I}\text{-equivalent to } u \}.$$

A potential  $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)_{>0}$  is called  $\mathcal{I}$ -good if

$$P_\theta[\varphi] = P_\theta[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

The corresponding volume is

$$\mathrm{vol} \theta_\varphi := \int_X \theta_{P_\theta[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}}}^n.$$

More generally, if  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  are big line bundles with  $\theta_i$  being a closed smooth real  $(1, 1)$ -form in  $c_1(L_i)$  and  $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta_i)_{>0}$ , we write

$$\mathrm{vol}(\theta_1 + \mathrm{dd}^c \varphi_1, \dots, \theta_n + \mathrm{dd}^c \varphi_n) = \int_X (\theta_1 + \mathrm{dd}^c P_{\theta_1}[\varphi_1]_{\mathcal{I}}) \wedge \dots \wedge (\theta_n + \mathrm{dd}^c P_{\theta_n}[\varphi_n]_{\mathcal{I}}).$$

The mixed volume defined here coincides with that defined by Cao [Cao14].

By [Xia26a, Theorem 7.1.1],  $\mathcal{I}$ -goodness is equivalent both to the mass-volume identity

$$\int_X \theta_\varphi^n = \mathrm{vol} \theta_\varphi$$

and to the existence of potentials  $\varphi_j$  with analytic singularities such that

$$\varphi_j \xrightarrow{d_S} \varphi.$$

Here  $d_S$  is the pseudometric on singularity types introduced in [DDNL21], see also [Xia26a, Definition 6.2.1]. The approximants may be chosen so that every  $\theta_{\varphi_j}$  is a Kähler current.

Following [Xia26a, Definition 1.6.1], a potential has analytic singularities if locally it is of the form

$$c \log(|f_1|^2 + \cdots + |f_N|^2) + O(1), \quad c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0},$$

where the  $f_j$  are holomorphic and  $O(1)$  is locally bounded.

Let  $\nu: \mathbb{C}(X)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{lex}}^n$  be surjective and trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ . Its center exists on  $X$  by properness. Choose a rational section  $\tau$  of  $L$  which is regular and nowhere vanishing near the center. For a section  $s$  of  $L^k$ , set  $\nu_\tau(s) := \nu(s/\tau^k)$ , and suppress the subscript  $\tau$  below. For every  $k \geq 1$  for which the space below is non-zero, set

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_{\nu,k}(\theta, \varphi) := \text{Conv} \left\{ k^{-1} \nu(s) : s \in H^0(X, L^k \otimes \mathcal{I}(k\varphi))^\times \right\}.$$

Let  $\Gamma(\theta, \varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{N}$  consist of  $(0, 0)$  and all pairs  $(\nu(s), k)$  occurring above. By [Xia26a, Theorem 10.3.1],  $\Gamma(\theta, \varphi)$  is an almost semigroup. Using the continuous Okounkov-body map  $\Delta$  of [Xia26a, Theorem 10.1.2], define  $\Delta_\nu(\theta, \varphi) = \Delta_\nu(L, h) := \Delta(\Gamma(\theta, \varphi))$ . Changing the rational trivialization translates all the bodies in (2.1); none of the mixed volumes below is affected.

We record the properties used in the proof. We write  $\varphi \preceq_{\mathcal{I}} \psi$  when  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda\varphi) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\lambda\psi)$  for every  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_n$  be the space of non-empty compact convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $d_{\text{Haus}}$  be the Hausdorff distance on  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . We write  $\text{vol}(K)$  for the Euclidean  $n$ -volume of  $K \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . First,

$$(2.2) \quad \text{vol } \Delta_\nu(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{n!} \text{vol } \theta_\varphi$$

by [Xia26a, Corollary 10.3.2]. The right-hand side is independent of  $\nu$ . Second, the map

$$\Delta_\nu(\theta, \cdot): (\text{PSH}(X, \theta)_{>0}, d_S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{K}_n, d_{\text{Haus}})$$

is continuous by [Xia26a, Theorem 10.3.2]. Third, for another Hermitian big line bundle  $(L', h')$ , singularity comparison and tensor products give

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi \preceq_{\mathcal{I}} \psi \implies \Delta_\nu(\theta, \varphi) \subseteq \Delta_\nu(\theta, \psi),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta_\nu(L, h) + \Delta_\nu(L', h') \subseteq \Delta_\nu(L \otimes L', h \otimes h'),$$

by [Xia26a, Propositions 10.3.8 and 10.3.10]. In particular, the partial body is contained in the ordinary Okounkov body  $\Delta_\nu(L)$ , obtained from the same construction using the complete linear series  $H^0(X, L^k)$ . The latter satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \text{vol } \Delta_\nu(L) = \frac{1}{n!} \text{vol}(L)$$

by [Xia26a, Corollary 10.3.1], where  $\text{vol}(L) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n! k^{-n} h^0(X, L^k)$ .

We will also use basic facts about model envelopes. By [Xia26a, Corollary 3.1.2],  $P_\theta[\varphi]$  is a model potential. The equality  $d_S(\varphi, P_\theta[\varphi]) = 0$  follows from [Xia26a, Proposition 6.2.2], and  $P$ -equivalent potentials are  $\mathcal{I}$ -equivalent by [Xia26a, Proposition 3.2.9]. Consequently, replacing a potential by its model envelope changes neither its  $d_S$ -class nor its partial Okounkov body. If  $\varphi$  has analytic singularities, then so does  $P_\theta[\varphi]$  by [Xia26a, Proposition 3.2.10].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u_j, u \in \text{PSH}(X, \theta)_{>0}$  be model potentials such that  $u_j \xrightarrow{d_S} u$ . The sequence  $(u_j)_j$  has a further subsequence, still indexed by  $j$ , for which there are increasing model potentials  $\eta_j$  and decreasing model potentials  $\psi_j$  satisfying*

$$\eta_j \leq u_j \leq \psi_j, \quad \eta_j \leq u \leq \psi_j, \quad \eta_j \xrightarrow{d_S} u, \quad \psi_j \xrightarrow{d_S} u.$$

*Proof.* By [Xia26a, Corollary 6.2.5], the masses  $\int_X \theta_{u_j}^n$  have a positive lower bound after finitely many terms. So we can apply [Xia26a, Proposition 6.2.3] (and then take the  $P$ -envelope) to obtain  $\eta_j$  and  $\psi_j$ . Only the inequality  $\eta_j \leq u \leq \psi_j$  needs an extra proof. It follows from  $\eta_j \leq u_j \leq \psi_j$  and [Xia26a, Proposition 6.2.5].  $\square$

**2.2. Mixed volumes and movable intersections.** We retain the mixed-volume normalization fixed in [Theorem 1.2](#). Mixed volume is symmetric, multilinear for Minkowski addition, monotone, translation invariant, and continuous for the Hausdorff topology; see [\[Sch14, Section 5.1\]](#).

For big classes  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , we write  $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$  for their movable intersection number [\[BDPP13\]](#). If  $\mu: Y \rightarrow X$  is a modification with  $Y$  smooth and

$$\mu^* \alpha_i = \beta_i + \{E_i\}, \quad \beta \text{ nef}, \quad E_i \text{ is an effective } \mathbb{R}\text{-divisor},$$

then

$$(2.6) \quad \beta_1 \cdots \beta_n \leq \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle.$$

For nef  $\alpha_i$ , the movable and ordinary intersection numbers agree.

### 3. AN ALGEBRAIC UPPER BOUND

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $F/\mathbb{C}$  be a finitely generated field of transcendence degree  $n$ , let  $X$  be an integral projective model with  $\mathbb{C}(X) = F$ , and let  $\nu$  be a surjective valuation which is trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ , written as*

$$\nu: F^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{lex}}^n.$$

*Let  $W_1, \dots, W_n \subset F$  be non-zero finite-dimensional vector spaces. Evaluation of rational functions defines*

$$\Phi_i: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee), \quad x \longmapsto [\text{ev}_{i,x}], \quad \text{ev}_{i,x}(f) := f(x).$$

*This map is defined wherever all elements of  $W_i$  are regular and  $\text{ev}_{i,x} \neq 0$ . Put*

$$\Phi := (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n): X \dashrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee),$$

*and let  $Y$  be the Zariski closure of its image. Assume that  $\Phi$  is birational onto  $Y$  and that*

$$\Lambda := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\nu(f) - \nu(g) : f, g \in W_i^\times, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

*Put  $P_i := \text{Conv } \nu(W_i^\times)$ . If  $\pi_i$  is the projection of the ambient product onto  $\mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee)$ , put*

$$H_i := c_1(\pi_i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee)}(1)).$$

*Then*

$$(3.1) \quad n! \text{vol}(P_1, \dots, P_n) \leq (H_1 \cdots H_n \cdot Y).$$

*Proof.* For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\succeq a} := \{0\} \cup \{f \in F^\times : \nu(f) \succeq a\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\succ a} := \{0\} \cup \{f \in F^\times : \nu(f) \succ a\},$$

where  $\succeq$  is the lexicographic order. The associated graded ring and the initial form of  $f \in F^\times$  are

$$\text{gr}_\nu F := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{F}_{\succeq a} / \mathcal{F}_{\succ a}, \quad \text{in}_\nu(f) := f \bmod \mathcal{F}_{\succ \nu(f)}.$$

The quotient  $\mathcal{F}_{\succeq a} / \mathcal{F}_{\succ a}$  is called the leaf of  $\nu$  at  $a$ . Since  $\nu$  has rational rank  $n$ , Abhyankar's inequality forces its residue field to be  $\mathbb{C}$ ; hence every non-zero graded piece is one-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Equivalently, every non-zero finite-dimensional subspace  $V \subset F$  satisfies

$$\#\nu(V^\times) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V;$$

compare [\[LM09, Lemma 1.3\]](#) and [\[Xia26a, Section 10.3\]](#).

Put  $r_i := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_i - 1$ . Choose one  $f_{ij} \in W_i^\times$  above each value in  $\nu(W_i^\times)$  and set

$$a_{ij} := \nu(f_{ij}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq r_i.$$

The  $a_{ij}$  are pairwise distinct, so the valuation axiom makes the  $f_{ij}$  linearly independent. They therefore form a basis of  $W_i$ , and

$$\nu(W_i^\times) = \{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{ir_i}\}.$$

*The initial degeneration.* Choose  $u_\ell \in F^\times$  with  $\nu(u_\ell) = e_\ell$ . One-dimensionality of the leaves gives a non-canonical graded isomorphism. More precisely, for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , write  $u^a := u_1^{a_1} \cdots u_n^{a_n}$ , and write

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n] := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbb{C}\chi^a, \quad \chi^a \chi^{a'} = \chi^{a+a'},$$

for the group algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_\nu F, \quad \chi^a \mapsto \text{in}_\nu(u^a).$$

After rescaling the  $f_{ij}$ , which merely changes the homogeneous coordinates, we may and do assume that

$$\text{in}_\nu(f_{ij}) = \chi^{a_{ij}}.$$

Let  $x_{ij}$  be the homogeneous coordinate on  $\mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee)$  dual to  $f_{ij}$ . In these coordinates,

$$\Phi_i(x) = [f_{i0}(x) : \cdots : f_{ir_i}(x)].$$

Let  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  be the standard basis of the multidegree lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The multihomogeneous coordinate ring of the ambient product is

$$S := \mathbb{C}[x_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq r_i], \quad \deg x_{ij} := \epsilon_i.$$

Let  $I \subseteq S$  be the multihomogeneous prime ideal of  $Y$ . Equivalently, a multihomogeneous polynomial  $G$  belongs to  $I$  exactly when  $G(f_{ij}) = 0$  in  $F$ .

Recall that each  $a_{ij}$  is a column vector in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Put  $N := \sum_{i=1}^n (r_i + 1)$ , and define  $A$  to be the  $n \times N$  matrix whose column indexed by  $(i, j)$  is  $a_{ij}$ . Equivalently,

$$A := [a_{10} \cdots a_{1r_1} \mid \cdots \mid a_{n0} \cdots a_{nr_n}] \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times N}.$$

For  $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , write  $x^\alpha := \prod_{i,j} x_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$ , and define

$$\text{wt}_A(x^\alpha) := \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

These weights are compared lexicographically. If  $0 \neq G = \sum_\alpha \gamma_\alpha x^\alpha$ , let  $\text{in}_A(G)$  be the sum of the terms of least  $A$ -weight, and put

$$Q := \text{in}_A(I) := (\text{in}_A(G) : 0 \neq G \in I).$$

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be algebraically independent variables of multidegrees  $\deg t_i = \epsilon_i$ , and define

$$J := \ker(S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n][t_1, \dots, t_n], \quad x_{ij} \mapsto \chi^{a_{ij}} t_i).$$

If  $G \in I$  is multihomogeneous, then  $G(f_{ij}) = 0$ . Taking initial forms of the terms of least valuation in this equality gives  $\text{in}_A(G) \in J$ . Since  $I$  is multihomogeneous, the initial forms of its multihomogeneous elements generate  $Q$ ; hence

$$Q \subseteq J.$$

This is the argument of [KM19, Lemma 2.16].

By [KM19, Proposition 8.10], there is a rational weight vector  $\omega = (\omega_{ij})$  such that  $Q = \text{in}_\omega(I)$ , where  $\text{in}_\omega$  is defined by taking terms of least  $\omega$ -weight. After clearing denominators, the  $\omega_{ij}$  may be taken integral. We spell out the resulting Rees degeneration. Put

$$\text{wt}_\omega(x^\alpha) := \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \omega_{ij}, \quad F_\omega^p S := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x^\alpha : \text{wt}_\omega(x^\alpha) \geq p\} \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let  $R := S/I$ , let  $F_\omega^p R$  be the image of  $F_\omega^p S$  in  $R$ , and let  $s$  be an indeterminate. The Rees algebra of this descending filtration is

$$\mathcal{R}_\omega(R) := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} F_\omega^p R s^{-p} \subseteq R[s, s^{-1}].$$

It is the  $\mathbb{C}[s]$ -algebra generated by  $s$  and the elements  $\bar{x}_{ij}s^{-\omega_{ij}}$ , where  $\bar{x}_{ij}$  is the image of  $x_{ij}$  in  $R$ . In particular, it is finitely generated and, being a subalgebra of  $R[s, s^{-1}]$ , is torsion-free over the principal ideal domain  $\mathbb{C}[s]$ ; it is therefore flat over  $\mathbb{C}[s]$ . Its fibers are

$$\mathcal{R}_\omega(R)/(s-1) \simeq S/I, \quad \mathcal{R}_\omega(R)/s\mathcal{R}_\omega(R) \simeq \text{gr}_{F_\omega} R \simeq S/\text{in}_\omega(I) = S/Q.$$

Here  $\text{gr}_{F_\omega} R := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} F_\omega^p R / F_\omega^{p+1} R$  is the associated graded ring. Thus  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_\omega(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[s]$  is the desired flat degeneration. Giving  $s$  multidegree zero makes this family multigraded. More explicitly, each fixed multidegree piece is generated over  $\mathbb{C}[s]$  by the finitely many monomials of that block multidegree in the generators  $\bar{x}_{ij}s^{-\omega_{ij}}$ . It is torsion-free and hence free over  $\mathbb{C}[s]$ . Its two fibers therefore have the same dimension, so the fibers of the Rees family have the same multigraded Hilbert function.

*The toric component.* Define the affine semigroup

$$\Gamma_A := \left\{ \sum_{i,j} m_{ij}(\epsilon_i, a_{ij}) : m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The image of the homomorphism defining  $J$  is exactly  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_A]$ . Hence  $S/J \simeq \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_A]$ , where  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_A]$  is the semigroup algebra of  $\Gamma_A$ . Projection to the first factor gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \{0\} \oplus \Lambda \longrightarrow \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, the kernel is generated by the differences  $(0, a_{ij} - a_{ik})$  with fixed  $i$ . Therefore

$$\dim S/J = \text{rank}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_A) = n + \text{rank } \Lambda = 2n.$$

Birationality gives  $\dim S/I = \dim Y + n = 2n$ , while preservation of the multigraded Hilbert function gives  $\dim S/Q = 2n$ . Since  $Q \subseteq J$  and  $J$  is prime,  $J$  is a minimal prime over  $Q$ .

It remains to check that this component survives multiprojective saturation. Set

$$\mathfrak{m}_i := (x_{i0}, \dots, x_{ir_i}), \quad \mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{m}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the multigraded irrelevant ideal. For an ideal  $K \subseteq S$ , write

$$(K : \mathfrak{B}^m) := \{g \in S : g\mathfrak{B}^m \subseteq K\}, \quad K : \mathfrak{B}^\infty := \bigcup_{m \geq 0} (K : \mathfrak{B}^m).$$

Set  $b := x_{10} \cdots x_{n0}$ . Its image under the homomorphism defining  $J$  is

$$\chi^{\sum_i a_{i0}} t_1 \cdots t_n \neq 0,$$

so  $b \notin J$ . If  $g \in Q : \mathfrak{B}^\infty$ , then  $gb^m \in Q \subseteq J$  for some  $m$ , and the primality of  $J$  gives  $g \in J$ . Thus

$$Q \subseteq Q : \mathfrak{B}^\infty \subseteq J, \quad J : \mathfrak{B}^\infty = J.$$

The quotients by the two outer ideals have dimension  $2n$ , so the middle quotient has the same dimension. The same dimension argument shows that  $J$  is a minimal prime over  $Q : \mathfrak{B}^\infty$ .

We now make the multiprojective convention explicit. For  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  with  $0 \leq j_i \leq r_i$ , put

$$b_{\mathbf{j}} := x_{1j_1} \cdots x_{nj_n}.$$

If  $K \subseteq S$  is multihomogeneous, then  $\text{MultiProj}(S/K)$  is the closed subscheme of  $\prod_i \mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee)$  obtained by gluing the affine schemes

$$\text{Spec}(((S/K)_{b_{\mathbf{j}}})_0),$$

where the subscript 0 denotes the part of multidegree  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . Equivalently, its multihomogeneous ideal is the saturation  $K : \mathfrak{B}^\infty$ ; in particular, replacing  $K$  by  $K : \mathfrak{B}^\infty$  does not change  $\text{MultiProj}(S/K)$ . Consequently

$$Z := \text{MultiProj}(S/J)$$

is a top-dimensional irreducible component of  $Y_0 := \text{MultiProj}(S/Q)$ . Saturation does not change sufficiently positive multidegrees, so flatness shows that  $Y$  and  $Y_0$  have the same multigraded Hilbert polynomial and hence the same mixed degree. The fundamental cycle of  $Y_0$  is

$$[Y_0] = \sum_W \ell(\mathcal{O}_{Y_0, \eta_W})[W],$$

where  $W$  runs over the  $n$ -dimensional irreducible components and  $\eta_W$  is the generic point of  $W$ . In particular,  $Z$  occurs with multiplicity  $m_Z := \ell(\mathcal{O}_{Y_0, \eta_Z}) \geq 1$ . Since the  $H_i$  are globally generated, their mixed degree on every component is non-negative. Therefore

$$(3.2) \quad (H_1 \cdots H_n \cdot Y) = (H_1 \cdots H_n \cdot Y_0) \geq m_Z (H_1 \cdots H_n \cdot Z) \geq (H_1 \cdots H_n \cdot Z).$$

*The mixed degree of the toric component.* Let  $T := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ . For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T$  and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , write  $z^a := z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_n^{a_n}$ . The variety  $Z$  is the closure of the image of

$$\tau: T \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(W_i^\vee), \quad z \longmapsto ([z^{a_{i0}} : \cdots : z^{a_{ir_i}}])_{i=1}^n.$$

On the dense torus of the ambient product, contained in the chart  $x_{10} \cdots x_{n0} \neq 0$ , the map is defined by the characters  $z^{a_{ij} - a_{i0}}$ . Their exponents generate  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , so  $\tau$  is a closed immersion of  $T$  into that torus. We identify  $T$  with its image  $\tau(T)$ , which is dense in  $Z$ ; in particular,  $\tau$  is birational onto  $Z$ . The exponent polytope of its  $i$ -th coordinate map is

$$\text{Conv}\{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{ir_i}\} = P_i.$$

For completeness, choose general hyperplanes in the  $n$  projective factors. Their pullbacks to  $T$  are general Laurent polynomials with Newton polytopes  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ . Since the boundary  $Z \setminus T$  has dimension at most  $n - 1$  and each hyperplane system is basepoint-free, the incidence variety of  $n$ -tuples whose intersection meets the boundary has dimension strictly smaller than the parameter space. Thus a general  $n$ -tuple has no common point on the boundary. The Bernstein–Kushnirenko theorem, or equivalently the toric mixed-intersection formula [Ber75; Ful93], therefore gives

$$(H_1 \cdots H_n \cdot Z) = n! \text{vol}(P_1, \dots, P_n).$$

Together with (3.2), this proves (3.1).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth connected projective variety of dimension  $n$ , let  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  be big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors, and let  $\nu: \mathbb{C}(X)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{lex}}^n$  be surjective and trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ . Then*

$$n! \text{vol}(\Delta_\nu(D_1), \dots, \Delta_\nu(D_n)) \leq \langle D_1, \dots, D_n \rangle.$$

Here  $\Delta_\nu(D)$  for a big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor is defined by homogeneity after clearing denominators.

*Proof.* By homogeneity, assume that all  $D_i$  are integral. Let  $x_\nu$  be the center of  $\nu$  on  $X$ . For each  $i$ , choose a non-zero rational section  $\tau_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$  which is regular and nowhere vanishing near  $x_\nu$ . For every integer  $k \geq 1$ , put

$$W_{i,k} := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(kD_i))\tau_i^{-k} \subset \mathbb{C}(X).$$

Whenever  $W_{i,k} \neq 0$ , put

$$P_{i,k} := \text{Conv} \nu(W_{i,k}^\times).$$

Let  $\Phi_k$  be the joint evaluation map associated with the  $W_{i,k}$ , as in Lemma 3.1:

$$\Phi_k = (\Phi_{1,k}, \dots, \Phi_{n,k}): X \dashrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(W_{i,k}^\vee).$$

Under multiplication by  $\tau_i^k$ , its  $i$ -th component is the Kodaira map of  $|kD_i|$ .

The proof of [Xia26a, Proposition 10.3.1] supplies an integer  $p_0 > 0$  and  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n \in W_{1,p_0}^\times$  with

$$\nu(s_j) - \nu(s_0) = e_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Choose a sufficiently divisible multiple  $p = rp_0$  such that every map defined by  $|pD_i|$  is birational. Set

$$\tilde{s}_0 := s_0^r, \quad \tilde{s}_j := s_j s_0^{r-1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

These elements lie in  $W_{1,p}^\times$  and satisfy  $\nu(\tilde{s}_j) - \nu(\tilde{s}_0) = e_j$ . If  $k = mp$ , then  $\tilde{s}_0^m, \tilde{s}_j \tilde{s}_0^{m-1} \in W_{1,k}^\times$ , so

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\nu(f) - \nu(g) : f, g \in W_{i,k}^\times, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Moreover, the image of the multiplication map

$$\text{Sym}^m W_{i,p} \longrightarrow W_{i,k}$$

is a linear subsystem defining the  $m$ -th Veronese of the birational map associated with  $|pD_i|$ . Hence  $\Phi_{i,k}$ , and therefore  $\Phi_k$ , is birational onto its image.

Let  $Y_k$  be the closure of the image of  $\Phi_k$ , and let  $H_{i,k}$  be the restriction to  $Y_k$  of the hyperplane class from the  $i$ -th factor. Let  $\mu_k : X_k \rightarrow X$  be a common resolution of the base ideals of the systems  $|kD_i|$ , with  $X_k$  smooth, and write

$$\mu_k^*(kD_i) = M_{i,k} + F_{i,k}, \quad F_{i,k} \geq 0,$$

where  $M_{i,k}$  is basepoint-free and  $F_{i,k}$  is the effective fixed part. The induced morphism  $X_k \rightarrow Y_k$  is birational and pulls  $H_{i,k}$  back to  $M_{i,k}$ . Hence the projection formula and [Lemma 3.1](#) give

$$\begin{aligned} n! \text{vol}(P_{1,k}, \dots, P_{n,k}) &\leq (H_{1,k} \cdots H_{n,k} \cdot Y_k) \\ &= M_{1,k} \cdots M_{n,k} \\ &\leq k^n \langle D_1, \dots, D_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is [\(2.6\)](#), since  $\mu_k^* D_i - k^{-1} M_{i,k} = k^{-1} F_{i,k} \geq 0$ .

Finally, [\[Xia26a, Proposition 10.3.4\]](#) gives

$$k^{-1} P_{i,k} = \Delta_{\nu,k}(D_i) \xrightarrow{d_{\text{Haus}}} \Delta_{\nu}(D_i).$$

This holds in particular along  $k \in p\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Divide the preceding inequality by  $k^n$  and use continuity of mixed volume.  $\square$

#### 4. UNIFORMITY IN THE VALUATION

We first isolate a convex-geometric compactness statement. It is important that neither the position nor the shape of the bodies is fixed. Recall that  $\mathcal{K}_n$  is the set of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $A_{i,j}, C_{i,j}, D_{i,j}, B_{i,j} \in \mathcal{K}_n$  satisfy*

$$A_{i,j} \subseteq C_{i,j}, D_{i,j} \subseteq B_{i,j}.$$

*Suppose that there are constants  $v, M > 0$ , independent of  $i$  and  $j$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(A_{i,j}) &\geq v, \\ \text{vol}(B_{1,j} + \cdots + B_{n,j}) &\leq M, \\ \text{vol}(B_{i,j}) - \text{vol}(A_{i,j}) &\longrightarrow 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

*Then*

$$|\text{vol}(C_{1,j}, \dots, C_{n,j}) - \text{vol}(D_{1,j}, \dots, D_{n,j})| \longrightarrow 0.$$

We refer to [\[Sch14\]](#) for John's theorem and Blaschke selection theorem used in the proof below.

*Proof.* Translate each  $i$ -th quadruple by the same vector so that  $0 \in A_{i,j}$ , and set  $S_j := B_{1,j} + \cdots + B_{n,j}$ . These translations do not change mixed volumes. Every body is now contained in  $S_j$ , and

$$v \leq \text{vol}(S_j) \leq M.$$

Let  $\mathbb{B}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be the closed Euclidean unit ball. Choose an affine isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_j(x) := L_j x + b_j$ , with  $L_j \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which sends the John ellipsoid of  $S_j$  to  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Writing  $\kappa_n := \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ , John's theorem gives

$$\mathbb{B}^n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_j(S_j) \subseteq n\mathbb{B}^n, \quad \frac{\kappa_n}{M} \leq |\det L_j| \leq \frac{n^n \kappa_n}{v}.$$

Thus the transformed bodies lie in one fixed compact set, the transformed  $A_{i,j}$  have volume bounded away from zero, and their transformed volume gaps tend to zero.

Starting with any subsequence, Blaschke's selection theorem gives a further subsequence along which all  $4n$  transformed bodies converge. At the limit,

$$\widehat{A}_i \subseteq \widehat{C}_i, \widehat{D}_i \subseteq \widehat{B}_i, \quad \mathrm{vol}(\widehat{A}_i) = \mathrm{vol}(\widehat{B}_i) > 0,$$

where the hats denote the respective Hausdorff limits. Hence  $\widehat{A}_i = \widehat{C}_i = \widehat{D}_i = \widehat{B}_i$ . Continuity of mixed volume now makes the transformed mixed-volume difference tend to zero. Finally,

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{T}_j(K_1), \dots, \mathcal{T}_j(K_n)) = |\det L_j| \mathrm{vol}(K_1, \dots, K_n)$$

for arbitrary  $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ ; the affine translations are harmless. The lower bound for  $|\det L_j|$  gives the same conclusion before transformation. Since this works for every subsequence, the full sequence converges.  $\square$

The next result upgrades fixed-valuation continuity only at the level of mixed-volume values. It does not assert valuation-uniform Hausdorff convergence of the bodies themselves.

**Proposition 4.2.** *For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , fix a big line bundle  $L_i$ , a smooth reference metric with curvature  $\theta_i$ , and potentials  $\varphi_{i,j}, \varphi_i \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta_i)_{>0}$  such that*

$$\varphi_{i,j} \xrightarrow{d_S} \varphi_i.$$

*Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{\nu} \left| \mathrm{vol}(\Delta_{\nu}(\theta_1, \varphi_{1,j}), \dots, \Delta_{\nu}(\theta_n, \varphi_{n,j})) - \mathrm{vol}(\Delta_{\nu}(\theta_1, \varphi_1), \dots, \Delta_{\nu}(\theta_n, \varphi_n)) \right| \longrightarrow 0,$$

*where the supremum is over all surjective valuations  $\mathbb{C}(X)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{lex}}^n$  that are trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .*

*Proof.* Replace every  $\varphi_{i,j}$  and  $\varphi_i$  by its model envelope. As recalled in [Section 2](#), this changes neither its  $d_S$ -class nor its partial Okounkov body.

Suppose that (4.1) fails. Then there are a subsequence, still indexed by  $j$ , valuations  $\nu_j$ , and a constant  $\delta > 0$  for which the absolute difference in (4.1), evaluated at  $\nu_j$ , is at least  $\delta$ .

Apply [Lemma 2.1](#) to this subsequence. After passing to a further subsequence, there are increasing model potentials  $\eta_{i,j}$  and decreasing model potentials  $\psi_{i,j}$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \eta_{i,j} \leq \varphi_{i,j} \leq \psi_{i,j}, \quad \eta_{i,j} \xrightarrow{d_S} \varphi_i, \quad \psi_{i,j} \xrightarrow{d_S} \varphi_i.$$

For every  $i, j$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} &:= \Delta_{\nu_j}(\theta_i, \eta_{i,j}), & B_{i,j} &:= \Delta_{\nu_j}(\theta_i, \psi_{i,j}), \\ C_{i,j} &:= \Delta_{\nu_j}(\theta_i, \varphi_{i,j}), & D_{i,j} &:= \Delta_{\nu_j}(\theta_i, \varphi_i). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3) and (4.2),

$$A_{i,j} \subseteq C_{i,j}, D_{i,j} \subseteq B_{i,j}.$$

The numerical bounds in [Lemma 4.1](#) do not depend on  $\nu_j$ . Indeed, (2.2) and the  $d_S$ -continuity of the volume [[Xia26a](#), Corollary 7.3.1] give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{vol}(B_{i,j}) - \mathrm{vol}(A_{i,j}) &= \frac{1}{n!} \left( \mathrm{vol}(\theta_i + \mathrm{dd}^c \psi_{i,j}) - \mathrm{vol}(\theta_i + \mathrm{dd}^c \eta_{i,j}) \right) \longrightarrow 0, \\ \mathrm{vol}(A_{i,j}) &= \frac{1}{n!} \mathrm{vol}(\theta_i + \mathrm{dd}^c \eta_{i,j}) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{n!} \mathrm{vol}(\theta_i + \mathrm{dd}^c \varphi_i) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, (2.4) and (2.3) yield

$$B_{1,j} + \cdots + B_{n,j} \subseteq \Delta_{\nu_j} \left( \sum_i \theta_i + \text{dd}^c \psi_{i,j} \right) \subseteq \Delta_{\nu_j}(L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n).$$

By (2.5), the last body has Euclidean volume  $\text{vol}(L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n)/n!$ , independently of  $j$  and  $\nu_j$ .

All assumptions of Lemma 4.1 are therefore satisfied. It forces the mixed-volume difference at  $\nu_j$  to tend to zero, contradicting its lower bound  $\delta$ .  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THE MIXED-VOLUME FORMULA

**Proposition 5.1.** *The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds if each  $h_i$  has analytic singularities and every  $c_1(L_i, h_i)$  is a Kähler current.*

*Proof.* Take a projective log resolution  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ , with  $Y$  smooth and projective, for which

$$\pi^* c_1(L_i, h_i) = [D_i] + R_i,$$

where  $D_i$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor and  $R_i$  is a closed positive current with locally bounded potentials; see [Xia26a, Definition 1.6.2 and Theorem 1.6.1]. Put  $\alpha_i := \{R_i\} \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ . The class  $\alpha_i$  is nef by [Xia26a, Lemma 1.6.1]. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \int_X c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(L_n, h_n) = \int_Y R_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge R_n = \alpha_1 \cap \cdots \cap \alpha_n.$$

Here we used [Xia26a, Proposition 2.4.2 and Proposition 2.2.1(1), (3), and (4)]. Note that

$$\alpha_i^n = \int_X c_1(L_i, h_i)^n > 0,$$

Thus  $\alpha_i^n > 0$ , so the nef class  $\alpha_i$  is big.

For a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $D$  and a valuation  $\nu$  centered at  $y_\nu \in Y$ , choose  $m > 0$  such that  $mD$  is Cartier and let  $g$  be a local equation of  $mD$  at  $y_\nu$ . Set

$$\nu(D) := m^{-1} \nu(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^n.$$

For every valuation  $\nu$ , the log-resolution formula [Xia26a, Remark 10.3.1, Equation (10.22)] reads

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta_\nu(L_i, h_i) = \Delta_\nu(\alpha_i) + \nu(D_i).$$

Translation invariance, Proposition 3.2, and nefness give

$$(5.3) \quad n! \text{vol}(\Delta_\nu(L_1, h_1), \dots, \Delta_\nu(L_n, h_n)) \leq \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle = \alpha_1 \cap \cdots \cap \alpha_n.$$

It remains to prove the opposite inequality after taking the supremum. Fix an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $H$  on  $Y$ . Choose one  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  so large that every  $c\alpha_i - \{H\}$  is big, and choose effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $E_i$  in the cohomology class  $c\alpha_i - \{H\}$ . For  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  set

$$\beta_i := \alpha_i + \epsilon\{H\}.$$

The class  $\beta_i$  is ample and

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{1+c\epsilon} \beta_i + \frac{\epsilon}{1+c\epsilon} \{E_i\} = \alpha_i.$$

By [Wil25, Theorem 1.1], there is an admissible flag

$$Y_\bullet: Y = Y_0 \supset Y_1 \supset \cdots \supset Y_n = \{y\},$$

where each  $Y_r$  is irreducible of codimension  $r$  in  $Y$  and is nonsingular at  $y$ . Let  $\nu_\epsilon$  be the valuation defined by this flag. It satisfies

$$(5.5) \quad n! \text{vol}(\Delta_{\nu_\epsilon}(\beta_1), \dots, \Delta_{\nu_\epsilon}(\beta_n)) = \beta_1 \cap \cdots \cap \beta_n.$$

The flag valuation is surjective and trivial on  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ ; since  $\mathbb{C}(Y) = \mathbb{C}(X)$ , it is among the valuations in Theorem 1.2. Then by (5.4), we have

$$\frac{1}{1+c\epsilon} \Delta_{\nu_\epsilon}(\beta_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{1+c\epsilon} \nu_\epsilon(E_i) \subseteq \Delta_{\nu_\epsilon}(\alpha_i).$$

Using (5.5), we find

$$(5.6) \quad \sup_{\nu} \text{vol}(\Delta_{\nu}(\alpha_1), \dots, \Delta_{\nu}(\alpha_n)) \geq \frac{1}{n!(1+c\epsilon)^n} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_n.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$  in (5.6), and then using the translations in (5.2), proves the reverse of (5.3). Together with (5.1), this proves the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Choose smooth Hermitian metrics  $h_i^0$  on  $L_i$  and write

$$\theta_i := c_1(L_i, h_i^0), \quad h_i = h_i^0 e^{-\varphi_i}.$$

We may replace  $\varphi_i$  by  $P_{\theta_i}[\varphi_i]_{\mathcal{I}}$  and assume that  $\varphi_i$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -good. [Xia26a, Theorem 7.1.1] gives potentials  $\psi_{i,j}$  with analytic singularities such that

$$\psi_{i,j} \xrightarrow{ds} \varphi_i, \quad \theta_{i,\psi_{i,j}} \text{ is a Kähler current.}$$

By Proposition 5.1, for every  $j$ ,

$$(5.7) \quad \int_X \theta_{1,\psi_{1,j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{n,\psi_{n,j}} = n! \sup_{\nu} \text{vol}(\Delta_{\nu}(\theta_1, \psi_{1,j}), \dots, \Delta_{\nu}(\theta_n, \psi_{n,j})).$$

By [Xia26a, Theorem 6.2.1], the left-hand side converges to

$$\int_X c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(L_n, h_n).$$

By Proposition 4.2, the right-hand side converges to

$$n! \sup_{\nu} \text{vol}(\Delta_{\nu}(L_1, h_1), \dots, \Delta_{\nu}(L_n, h_n)).$$

Taking limits in (5.7) proves (1.4).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BDPP13] S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, M. Păun, and T. Peternell. The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 22.2 (2013), pp. 201–248. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S1056-3911-2012-00574-8>.
- [Ber75] D. N. Bernstein. The number of roots of a system of equations. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 9.3 (1975), pp. 183–185.
- [Cao14] J. Cao. Numerical dimension and a Kawamata-Viehweg-Nadel-type vanishing theorem on compact Kähler manifolds. *Compos. Math.* 150.11 (2014), pp. 1869–1902. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X14007398>.
- [DDNL21] T. Darvas, E. Di Nezza, and H.-C. Lu. The metric geometry of singularity types. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 771 (2021), pp. 137–170. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2020-0019>.
- [Ful93] W. Fulton. Introduction to Toric Varieties. Vol. 131. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [Jow10] S.-Y. Jow. Okounkov bodies and restricted volumes along very general curves. *Adv. Math.* 223.4 (2010), pp. 1356–1371. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2009.09.015>.
- [KM19] K. Kaveh and C. Manon. Khovanskii bases, higher rank valuations, and tropical geometry. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.* 3.2 (2019), pp. 292–336. arXiv: [1610.00298](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00298).
- [LM09] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustață. Convex bodies associated to linear series. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 42.5 (2009), pp. 783–835. URL: <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2109>.
- [Sch14] R. Schneider. Convex bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski theory. Second expanded. Vol. 151. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [Wil25] R. Wilms. Intersection numbers as mixed volumes of Newton–Okounkov bodies. Version 3, revised 26 February 2026. 2025. arXiv: [2502.18441](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.18441) [math.AG].
- [Xia25] M. Xia. Partial Okounkov bodies and Duistermaat–Heckman measures of non-Archimedean metrics. *Geom. Topol.* 29.3 (2025), pp. 1283–1344. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2025.29.1283>.
- [Xia26a] M. Xia. Singularities in Global Pluripotential Theory. Lectures at Zhejiang University. June 29, 2026. URL: [https://mingchenxia.github.io/Lectures/SGPT\\_final.pdf](https://mingchenxia.github.io/Lectures/SGPT_final.pdf).
- [Xia26b] M. Xia. Transcendental b-divisors II—Monotonicity theorem. 2026. URL: <https://mingchenxia.github.io/Papers/TB2.pdf>.

Mingchen Xia, INSTITUTE OF GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, USTC

Email address: [xiamingchen2008@gmail.com](mailto:xiamingchen2008@gmail.com)