Ymir

# Contents

| Topology and bornology |   | 5  |
|------------------------|---|----|
| 1.                     | Introduction                              | 5  |
| 2.                     | Nets                                      | 5  |
| 3.                     | Paracompact spaces                        | 7  |
| 4.                     | Closed maps and topologically finite maps | 7  |
| 5.                     | Exhaustion                                | 9  |
| 6.                     | Maps with discrete fibers                 | 10 |
| 7.                     | Previlaged neighbourhoods                 | 11 |
| 8.                     | Stratification                            | 11 |
| 9.                     | Bornology                                 | 13 |
| Bibliography           |   | 15 |

# Topology and bornology

## 1. Introduction

In the whole project, a neighbourhood in a topology space is taken in Bourbaki's sense. In particular, a neighbourhood is not necessarily open.

We follow Bourbaki's convention about compact space. A comapct space is always Hausdorff.

On the other hand, we do not require locally compact spaces and paracompact spaces be Hausdorff.

A connected topological is always non-empty.

References to this chapter include [Ber93].

### 2. Nets

Let X be a set,  $Y \subseteq X$  be a subset. Consider a collection  $\tau$  of subsets of X, we write

$$\tau|_Y := \{ V \in \tau : V \subseteq Y \}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a topology space and  $\tau$  be a collection of subsets of X. We say  $\tau$  is

- (1) dense if for any  $V \in \tau$  and any  $x \in V$ , there is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of x in V consisting of sets from  $\tau|_V$ ;
- (2) a quasi-net on X if for each  $x \in X$ , there exist  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \tau$  such that  $x \in V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_n$  and that  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$  is a neighbourhood of x in X;
- (3) a *net* on X if it is a quasi-net and if for any  $U, V \in \tau, \tau|_{U \cap V}$  is a quasi-net on  $U \cap V$ ;
- (4) *locally finite* if for any  $x \in X$ , there is a neighbourhood U of x in X such that  $\{V \in \tau : V \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.

We observe that if  $\tau$  is a net,  $\tau|_{U\cap V}$  is in fact a net.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let X be a topological space and  $\tau$  be a quasi-net on X.

- (1) A subset  $U \subseteq X$  is open if and only if for each  $V \in \tau$ ,  $U \cap V$  is open in V.
- (2) Suppose that  $\tau$  consists of compact sets. Then X is Hausdorff if and only if for any  $U, V \in \tau, U \cap V$  is compact.

We remind the readers that a compact space is Hausdorff by our convention.

PROOF. (1) The direct implication is trivial. Suppose that  $U \cap V$  is open in V for all  $V \in \tau$ . We want to show that U is open. Take  $x \in U$ , we can find  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \tau$  all containing x such that  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$  is a neighbourhood of x in X. By our hypothesis, we can find open sets  $W_1, \ldots, W_n$  in W such that  $W \cap V_i = U \cap V_i$ 

for i = 1, ..., n. Then  $W = W_1 \cap \cdots \cap W_n$  is an open neighbourhood of x in X. But then

$$U \cap (V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n) \supseteq W \cap (V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n),$$

the latter is a neighbourhood of x hence so is the former. It follows that U is open.

(2) The direct implication is trivial. Consider the quasi-net  $\tau \times \tau := \{U \times V : U, V \in \tau\}$  on  $X \times X$ . By (1), it suffices to verify that the intersection of the diagonal with  $U \times V$  is closed in  $U \times V$  for any  $U, V \in \tau$ . But this intersection is homeomorphic to  $U \cap V$ , which is compact by our assumption and hence closed as U, V are both Hausdorff.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let X be a Hausdorff space. Assume that X admits a quasi-net  $\tau$  consisting of compact sets. Then X is locally compact.

PROOF. Take  $x \in X$ . By assumption, we can find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \tau$  all containing x such that  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$  is a neighbourhood of x. This neighbourhood is clearly compact.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let X be a Hausdorff space and  $\tau$  be a collection of compact subsets of X. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\tau$  is a quasi-net;
- (2) For each  $x \in X$ , there are  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \tau$  such that  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$  is a neighbourhood of x in X.

PROOF. (1)  $\implies$  (2): This is trivial.

(2)  $\implies$  (1): Given  $x \in X$ , take  $V_1, \ldots, V_n$  as in (2). We may assume that  $x \in V_1, \ldots, V_m$  and  $x \notin V_{m+1}, \ldots, V_n$  for some  $1 \le m \le n$ . Then  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$  is a neighbourhood of x in X: if U is an open neighbourhood of x in X contained in  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$ , then  $U \setminus (V_{m+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_n)$  is an open neighbourhood of x in X contained in  $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let X be a topological space and  $\tau$  be a net on X consisting of compact sets. Then

- (1) for any pair  $U, V \in \tau$ , the intersection  $U \cap V$  is locally closed in U and in V;
- (2) If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $V, V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \tau$  are such that

 $V \subseteq V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n,$ 

then there are  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $U_1, \ldots, U_m \in \tau$  such that

$$V = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_m$$

and each  $U_i$  is contained in some  $V_i$ .

PROOF. (1) It suffices to show that  $U \cap V$  is locally compact in the induced topology. This follows from Lemma 2.3.

(2) For each  $x \in V$  and each i = 1, ..., n such that  $x \in V_i$ , we take a neighbourhood of x in  $V \cap V_i$  of the form  $W_i V_{i1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{im_i}$  for some  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $V_{ij} \in \tau$  for  $j = 1, ..., m_i$ . Then the union of all  $W_i$ 's is a neighbourhood of x of the form  $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_m$ , where  $U_j$  belongs to  $\tau$  and is contained in some  $V_i$ . Using the compactness of V, we conclude.

#### 3. Paracompact spaces

**Definition 3.1.** A topological space X is *paracompact* if any open covering of X admits a locally finite refinement.

A paracompact space is not necessarily Hausdorff according to our definition.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let *X* be a locally compact topological space.

- (1) Assume that each connected component of X is  $\sigma$ -compact, then X is paracompact.
- (2) If X is paracompact and Hausdorff, then each connected component of X is  $\sigma$ -compact.

If the conditions in (2) are satisfied, for any basis of neighbourhoods  $\mathcal{B}$  of X, every open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of X can be refined into a locally finite covering  $\mathcal{V}$  consisting of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

We do not assume that the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  be open. The covering  $\mathcal{V}$  is not necessarily open.

**Theorem 3.3** (Michael). Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a closed continuous map of topological spaces. Assume that X is paracompact and Hausdorff, then f(X) is also paracompact and Hausdorff.

This is a classical theorem of Ernest Michael. Reproduce the proof.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let X be a paracompact space and  $Y \subseteq X$  be a closed subspace. Then Y is paracompact.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $Y \subseteq X$  be a subspace, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) Y is locally compact and Hausdorff;
- (2) Y is a locally closed subspace of X.

# 4. Closed maps and topologically finite maps

**Definition 4.1** ([Stacks, Tag 004E], [Stacks, Tag 0CY1]). A map  $f : X \to Y$  of topological spaces is *closed* if for each closed subset Z in X, f(Z) is closed in Y.

A map  $f: X \to Y$  of topological spaces is *separated* if it is continuous and the diagonal map  $\Delta: X \to X \times_Y X$  is closed.

A closed map is not necessarily continuous.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed map of topological spaces, then for each  $y \in Y$  and any open neighbourhood U of  $f^{-1}(y)$  in X, there is an open neighbourhood V of y in Y such that  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ .

PROOF. It suffices to take  $V = Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)$ ,

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed map of topological spaces. Then for any subspace V of Y, the map  $f^{-1}(V) \to V$  induced by f is closed.

PROOF. Let A be a closed subset of  $U := f^{-1}(V)$ . We need to show that f(A) is closed in V. Choose a closed subset B of X such that  $A = B \cap U$ , then f(B) is closed in Y and  $f(A) = f(B) \cap V$  is closed in V.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.4.** A  $f: X \to Y$  of topological spaces is topologically finite if

- (1) f is separated and closed;
- (2) for each  $y \in Y$ , the set  $f^{-1}(y)$  is finite.

A map  $f: X \to Y$  of topological spaces is topologically finite at  $x \in X$  if there is an open neighbourhood U of x in X and an open neighbourhood V of f(x) in Y such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$  and the induced map  $U \to V$  is topologically finite.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a map of topological spaces. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is topologically finite;
- (2) f is proper and all fibers of f are discrete.

Here the properness is defined as in [Stacks, Tag 005O]. In particular, a proper map is always separated and hence continuous.

**PROOF.** Assume that f is topologically finite. As the fibers of f are finite and Hausdorff, they are discrete. We need to show that f is proper. This follows from [Stacks, Tag 005R].

Conversely, assume that f is proper with discrete fibers. By [Stacks, Tag 005R] again, the fibers of f are compact and hence finite. The map f is closed and separated as it is proper. So (1) follows. 

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous map between topologically spaces. Assume that Y is Hausdorff. Let W be an open relative quasi-compact subset of X, then the map

$$W \setminus f^{-1}(f(\partial W)) \to Y \setminus f(\partial W)$$

induced by f is proper.

**PROOF.** It is well-known that  $f|_{\bar{W}}: \bar{W} \to Y$ , as a continuous map from a quasi-compact space to a Hausdorff space is proper. The map in the lemma is a base change of the given map, hence is also proper. We apply [Stacks, Tag 005R].  $\square$ 

**Proposition 4.7.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a topologically finite map of topological spaces. Then for any subspace  $V \subseteq Y$ , the map  $f^{-1}(V) \to V$  induced by f is topologically finite.

**PROOF.** This follows immediately from Lemma 4.3.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a topologically finite map of topological spaces. Let  $y \in f(X)$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$  denote the distinct points of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Take pairwise disjoint open neighbourhoods  $U'_1, \ldots, U'_n$  of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in X. Then any neighbourhood V' of y in Y contains an open neighbourhood V of y satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) U<sub>1</sub> := f<sup>-1</sup>(V) ∩ U'<sub>1</sub>,..., U<sub>n</sub> := f<sup>-1</sup>(V) ∩ U'<sub>n</sub> are pairwise disjoint open neighbourhoods of x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub> in X;
  (2) f<sup>-1</sup>V = ∪<sup>n</sup><sub>j=1</sub>U<sub>j</sub>;
- (3) The maps  $U_j \to V$  for j = 1, ..., n induced from f are all topologically finite.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets on X, then we have a functorial bijection

$$f_*\mathcal{F}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}(U_j).$$

#### 5. EXHAUSTION

The existence of  $U'_1, \ldots, U'_n$  is guaranteed by [Stacks, Tag 0CY2].

PROOF. As  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U'_{j}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $f^{-1}(y)$  in X, by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we can find an open neighbourhood  $V \subseteq V'$  of y in Y such that

$$f^{-1}V \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U'_{j}.$$

The conditions (1) and (2) are therefore satisfied.

In order to prove (3), it remains to show that the induced maps  $U_j \to V$  are closed for j = 1, ..., n. We may take j = 1. Let A be a closed subset of  $U_1$ . Then A is closed in  $f^{-1}(V)$  by (1) and (2). It follows that f(A) is closed in V by Lemma 4.3. The last assertion follows from (1) and (2).

**Corollary 4.9.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a topologically finite map of topological spaces. Let  $x \in X$  be U' be an open neighbourhood of x in X such that all other points in  $f^{-1}(f(x))$  are in the interior of  $X \setminus U'$ . Then any neighbourhood V' of f(x) in Y contains an open neighbourhood V of y such that for  $U := f^{-1}(V) \cap U'$  the map  $q: U \to V$  induced by f is topologically finite and  $q^{-1}(q(x)) = \{x\}$ .

PROOF. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.8.

**Corollary 4.10.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a topologically finite map of topological spaces. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets on  $X, y \in f(X)$ . Denote by  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$   $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$  the distinct points of the fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then we have a canonical bijection

$$(f_*\mathcal{F})_y \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_{x_j}.$$

In particular,  $f_* : \mathcal{A}b(X) \to \mathcal{A}b(Y)$  is exact.

PROOF. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.8.

### 5. Exhaustion

**Definition 5.1.** Let X be a space. A quasi-compact exhaustion of X is a sequence of quasi-compact sets  $(K_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  in X such that

(1) For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,

$$K_i \subseteq \operatorname{Int} K_{i+1};$$

(2)

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i.$$

When X is Hausdorff, we also say  $(K_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  is a compact exhaustion.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let X be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) there is a quasi-compact exhaustion of X;
- (2) X is  $\sigma$ -compact and weakly locally compact;
- (3) X is Lindelöf and weakly locally compact.

PROOF. To be included.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space admitting a countable basis, then X admits a compact exhaustion.

Note that in the book of Grauret–Remmert, the condition of being Hausdorff is omitted.

**PROOF.** Include a proof

**Lemma 5.4.** Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff topological space and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of Abelian groups on X. Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $(K_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  be a compact exhaustion of X with the following property:

$$H^{q-1}(K_i, \mathcal{F}) = H^q(K_i, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then  $H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

PROOF. Grauert–Remmert P103.

#### 6. Maps with discrete fibers

**Lemma 6.1.** Let X be a locally connected locally compact Hausdorff topological space and  $X_0$  be a Hausdorff space with a basis  $\beta_0$ . Consider a continuous map  $f: X \to X_0$  with discrete fiber. Then there is a basis of X made up of connected components of  $f^{-1}U_0$  with  $U_0 \in \beta_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $x \in X$  and V be an open neighbourhood of x in X. We need to find  $U_0 \in \beta_0$  and a component U of  $f^{-1}(U_0)$  such that  $U \subseteq V$ .

For this purpose, we may assume that X is connected. Set  $x_0 = f(x)$ . Choose an open neighbourhood W of x in V with  $\overline{W}$  compact and  $B \cap f^{-1}(x_0) = \emptyset$ , where  $B = \overline{W} \setminus W$ . Let  $B_0 = f(B)$ , then  $x_0 \notin B_0$ . As  $B_0$  is compact, we can find  $U_0 \in \beta_0$ containing  $x_0$  such that  $B_0 \cap U_0 = \emptyset$ . Let U be the connected component of  $f^{-1}(U_0)$ containing x. Then  $B \cap U = \emptyset$  and hence  $U \subseteq W \cup (X \setminus \overline{W})$ . As X is connected and  $W \cap U$  is non-empty, we find that  $U \subseteq W$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** Let X be a connected, locally connected, first countable, locally compact Hausdorff space and  $X_0$  be a topological space with countable basis. If there is a map  $f: X \to X_0$  with discrete fibers, then X has countable topology as well.

This result is proved in [Jur59].

PROOF. Let  $\beta_0$  be a countable basis for the topology on  $X_0$ . Let  $\beta$  be the collection of open sets U in X such that

- (1) There is  $U_0 \in \beta_0$  such that U is a connected component of  $f^{-1}(U_0)$ ;
- (2) U is relatively compact in X.

By our assumption, any  $U \in \beta$  has countable basis. By Lemma 6.1,  $\beta$  is a basis for the topology on X. It remains to show that  $\beta$  is countable.

Let  $V \in \beta$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\beta^{(n)}$  denotes the collection of  $U \in \beta$  with the following property: there is a map  $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to \beta$ , say assigning  $U_i \in \beta$  to *i* such that  $U_1 = V$ ,  $U_i \cap U_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . As X is connected,

$$\beta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^{(n)}.$$

It remains to show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\beta^{(n)}$  is countable. We make an induction. The case n = 1 is obvious. Assume that  $n \ge 2$  and the assertion has been proved for n - 1. Let  $U_0 \in \beta_0$  and  $U' \in \beta^{(n-1)}$ . Let  $\alpha^{(n)}(U_0, U')$  denote the collection of

10

 $U\in\beta^{(n)}$  such that U is a connected component of  $f^{-1}(U_0)$  and  $U\cap U'$  is non-empty. Then

$$\beta^{(n)} = \bigcup_{U_0 \in \beta_0, U' \in \beta^{(n-1)}} \alpha^{(n)}(U_0, U').$$

But each  $\alpha_{(n)}(U_0, U')$  is countable as U' has countable basis. It follows that  $\beta^{(n)}$  is countable.

#### 7. Previlaged neighbourhoods

**Definition 7.1.** Let X be a topological space,  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of sets on X. We say a neighbourhood U of x in X is  $\mathcal{F}$ -previlaged at x if the map

$$H^0(U,\mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}_x$$

is injective. We also say U is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -previlaged neighbourhood of x in X.

**Proposition 7.2.** Let X be a topological space,  $x \in X$  and

$$0 \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{H}$$

be an exact sequence of sheaves of Abelian groups on X. Let U be a neighbourhood of x in X.

(1) If U is  $\mathcal{G}$ -previlaged at x and  $\mathcal{H}$ -previlaged at x, then it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -previlaged at x;

(2) If U is  $\mathcal{F}$ -previlaged at x, then it is  $\mathcal{G}$ -previlaged at x.

**PROOF.** We have a commutative diagram of  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear spaces

Both assertions follow from simple diagram chasing.

#### 8. Stratification

**Definition 8.1.** Let M be a real analytic manifold and  $\Omega$  be an open subset of M. A stratification  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\Omega$  is a finite collection of connected locally closed analytic submanifold of  $\Omega$  such that

- (1)  $\Omega$  is a disjoint union of the elements in  $\mathcal{N}$ ;
- (2) for each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $(\overline{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma) \cap \Omega$  is the union of elements in  $\mathcal{N}$  of strictly smaller dimensions.

Elements in  $\mathcal{N}$  are called the *strata* of the stratification.

For each open subset  $U \subseteq \Omega$ , we write  $\mathcal{N}(U)$  for the collection of subsets of U consisting of all connected components of  $U \cap \Gamma$  for all  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Take  $x \in \Omega$ , an open neighbourhood U of x in  $\Omega$  is normal with respect to N if

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}(U)$  is a stratification of U;
- (2) for each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}(U), x \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 8.2.** Let X be a real analytic space. For each  $x \in X$ , we temporarily write  $\mathcal{J}_a$  for the smallest family of germs of analytic subspaces of X stable under finite union, finite intersection, complement and contains all germs of the form  $\{f < 0\}_a$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .

A subset A of X is real semi-analytic if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $A_x \in \mathcal{J}_x$ .

**Theorem 8.3.** Let X be a real analytic manifold,  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $\{A_0, \ldots, A_m\}$  is a finite collection of real semi-analytic subsets of X. Then there is a stratification  $\mathcal{N}$  of an open neighbourhood U of x in X compatible with  $A_i$  in the sense that each stratum is either contained in  $A_i$  or is disjoint from  $A_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$  such that each  $y \in U$  admits a fundamental system of normal neighbourhoods with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ .

PROOF. Include the ref.

**Proposition 8.4.** Let X be a real analytic space, A be a semi-analytic set in X,  $x \in A$ . Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$  be finitely many analytic sets in X passing x. Then there is a fundamental system  $\mathcal{B}$  of neighbourhoods of a in A such that for each  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a fundamental system  $\mathcal{B}_V$  of open neighbourhoods of V in X so that for any  $W \in \mathcal{B}_V$ ,  $W \cap Y_i$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$ -previlaged at x for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

PROOF. The problem is local on X, we may assume that  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and x = 0. Let U be an open neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compatible with the collection  $\{A, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$  and such that each  $y \in U$  admits a fundamental system  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  of normal neighbourhoods with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ . The existence of U is guaranteed by Theorem 8.3. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{Q \cap A : Q \in \mathcal{U}(0)\}.$$

Suppose  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ , say  $B = Q \cap A$  with  $Q \in \mathcal{U}(0)$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a neighbourhood of V in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we need to construct an open neighbourhood W of V in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  contained in  $\Omega$  such that  $W \cap Y_i$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$ -previlaged at x for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ .

For each  $y \in V$ , we let Q(y) be an open neighbourhood of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  normal with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$  and contained in  $\Omega$ . Let  $W = \bigcup_{x \in V} Q(x)$ .

Fix  $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ . We will verify that  $W \cap Y_i$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$ -previlaged at x.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(W \cap Y_i)$  assume that f vanishes in a neighbourhood of 0, then we claim that f = 0 on  $W \cap Y_i$ . Now  $Y_i \cap Q$  is a finite union of strata of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  which are connected manifolds whose closures contain 0 and either contained in or disjoint from A. It is clear that f vanishes on all strata contained in  $A \cap Y_i \cap Q$ , hence on  $A \cap Y \cap Q$ . Also, f vanishes on  $Y \cap Q(0)$ , so it remains to prove that if  $b \in V$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , then f vanishes on  $Y \cap Q(b)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a stratum of  $\mathcal{N}(Q(b))$  contained in Y. Then  $b \in \overline{\Gamma}$ . Take a stratum  $\Gamma'$ of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  so that  $\Gamma$  is a connected component of  $Q(b) \cap \Gamma'$ . We may assume that b is on the boundary of A and  $\Gamma' \cap A = \emptyset$ . As  $A \cap \Gamma \cap Q(b)$  is a union of strata of  $\mathcal{N}(Q(b))$ , one of them, say  $\Gamma_1$  contains b. It is the intersection of a stratum  $\Gamma'_1$ of  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  with Q(b). Let C be the connected component of  $\Gamma' \cap W$  containing  $\Gamma$ . Consider the set

$$E = \left\{ x \in \Gamma'_1 \cap V : \Gamma'_{1,x} \subseteq \overline{C_x} \right\}.$$

Then  $b \in E$  as  $\Gamma'_1 \cap Q(b) \subseteq \overline{\Gamma} \cap Q(b) \subseteq \overline{C} \cap Q(b)$ . Moreover, E is an open subset of  $\Gamma'_1 \cap V$  by definition. We claim that E is also closed.

Let us postpone the proof of the claim. As  $\Gamma'_1$  is connected, we have  $E = \Gamma'_1 \cap V$ . So

$$\Gamma_1' \cap V \subseteq \bar{C} \cap V$$

and

$$\Gamma_1' \cap W \subseteq \bar{C} \cap W.$$

But  $a \in \overline{\Gamma_1}$ , so  $a \in \overline{C}$  and f vanishes on a clopen subset of C, namely  $Q(a) \cap C$ , so f vanishes on C. In particular on  $\Gamma$ .

It remains to verify the claim. In fact, we show that if  $y \in \overline{E} \cap \Gamma'_1 \cap V$ , then  $\Gamma'_1 \cap Q(y) \subseteq \overline{C} \cap Q(y)$ . To see this, observe that there is  $z \in E \cap Q(y)$ , so there is a non-empty open subset of  $\Gamma'_1 \cap Q(y)$  contained in  $\overline{C} \cap Q(y)$ . But  $\Lambda \cap Q(y)$  is a stratum of  $\mathcal{N}(Q(y))$  as  $y \in \Gamma'_1$ , our claim follows since  $\overline{C} \cap Q(y)$  is a union of strata of  $\mathcal{N}(Q(y))$ .

#### 9. Bornology

**Definition 9.1.** Let X be a set. A *bornology* on X is a collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of X such that

(1) For any  $x \in X$ , there is  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ ;

(2) For any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and any subset  $A \subseteq B, A \in \mathcal{B}$ ;

(3)  $\mathcal{B}$  is stable under finite union.

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is called a *bornological set*. The elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  are called the *bounded subsets* of  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ . When  $\mathcal{B}$  is obvious from the context, we omit it from the notations.

A morphism between bornological sets  $(X, \mathcal{B}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B}_Y)$  is a map of sets  $f: X \to Y$  such that for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ . Such a map is called a *bounded* map.

**Definition 9.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a bornological set. A *basis* for  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  such that for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there are  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $B \subseteq A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ .

# Bibliography

- [Ber93] V. G. Berkovich. Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques 78.1 (1993), pp. 5–161.
- [Jur59] M. Jurchescu. On a theorem of Stoilow. *Math. Ann.* 138 (1959), pp. 332–334. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01344153.
- [Stacks] T. Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 2020.